Case 1:20-cv-10617-WGY Document 409 Filed 04/21/21 Page 1 0514

Janito Decarvalho ID 197664 Bristol County Sherif"s Office North Dartmouth, Mass. 02747

Phone: (508) 955- 6400

Date: June 20, 2020

United State District Court
Distrcit of Massachusetts
John Josep Moakley U.S.Courthouse
Office of the Clerk
1- Courthouse Way
Boston, Mass. 02210

Dear: Cleark of Court

Whon it May Concern

Enclosed:

Please find a Petitioner a (A Writting Obejection Of Settlement N0.20 -cv- 10617 WGY)) please bring it to the attentions to the Judge Magistrate, schedule a hearing on this matter, Petition -Plaintiff receive this correspondence with the delay on April 13, 2021 Wohever he did the best to follow the dead line on time by April 21 and also be aware because a volume of the evidence he intend to present on Court Hearing on May 03,2001 all the evidency that apply. Respectfully ask you for this Great deligency.

Janito Decarvalho

ID 197664- Pro -se CC: fille

Truly Your's

Janito Decarvalho

ID <u>197664</u>
Bristol County Department of Corrections
North Dartmouth, Mass. 02747

I.C.E. - A

Phone: (508) 955-6400

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

)		
MARIA ALEJANDRA CELIMEN SA	AVINÓ,)		
JULIO CESAR MENDES NEVES, a those similary situated,	and all)		
Petitioner- Plaintiffs,)		
V.)	Obel Antiques NO Acc	00 40047 MOV
STEVEN SOUSA, Superintendent,)	Civil Actions: N0. 1:	20- CV- 10617 VVGY
Respondent- Defendant.) 		

Assigned to: Hon. Judge W. Young

File Date: April 17, 2021

PLAINTIFF OBJECTED A PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS ACTIONS SETTLEMENT BY DEFENDANT - ICE ON SAVINO Et AI V. HODGSON Et AI., U.S.D.C. MASS., No. 1: 20 cv 10617

IN CLERKS OFFICE

MARIA ALEJANDRA CELIMEN SA)) VINO.)		Annal Section
)		30
JULIO CESAR MENDES NEVES, a those similary situated,	nd all))		콩
Petitioner- Plaintiffs,)		90
)		50
٧.)		00 H
٧.)	Civil Actions: No. 1:20- cv- 10	0617 WGY
STEVEN SOUSA, Superintendent,	,)		
, , ,) ´		
Respondent- Defendant.)		

OBJECTION OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS ACTIONS SETTLEMENT SAVINO Et AI V. HODGSON Et AI., U.S.D.C. MASS., NO. 1: 20 cv 10617

Now Come the Petitioner- Plaintiff Janito Decarvalho in this matter above reference docket request this Honarable Court to place his "Objection" on that record rejecting a Proposed Setlement from the Respondent- Defendant-ICE on this Proceeding dockect No. 1:20 - cv - 10617 WGY. Plantiff his not interested on that proposed Class Action Settlement because this "proposed settlement" is pointless did not favor the Petioner - Plaintiff, and did not show fairness as follow: (a) Defendant- ICE have a obligation to bring the Detainee to the Court to a (non Immigrations) hearing when it was schedulled. See Nacional Detainee Handbook page 12. When Defendant - ICE and his associate failed to do so. On December 31, 2020. Plaitiff already filed a motion to reconsider the dismisal of his Family matters issue to the Family Probate Court in Salen Mass. Adressed the Court his motives (b) In an early stage Plaintiif request throught Deportation Oficer to be transfer to a different Facility way before pandemic, and Savino Class Action his requested was denied, the damaged, injury on the Plaintiff alreaddy been done including Plaintiff contract a Covid-19 were he become serius hill his symptoms was verry critical, he already at the and of his Immigration deportation procedure and

also since his being here he spent \$100's on Clothing, Footwear, Personal Care and Miscellaneous produts form administration Canteen Commissary, and fees of electronics service set up programs which he his not wanted to lose or be transfer to a different Facility and start all over (c) Plaintiff was Convict in 2015 for Possesion of Firearm, and possesion of class B. (cocainee) and others minor drug relates drug charges (only have one convictions on his record) See Docket 1383 -CR -00628. Whoever Plaintiff [s]uccessful[ly] complet[ed] his Sentence in 2017 and was release on the same years in addition he complety a two (2) years Probations without any recidivism charge he become a Self Employer and very active and engaged on his Family and community live when I.C.E. defendant removed him and place him in "Prison" on that year of 2019 denied him [all] of forme and possibility or alternative of release (such as a curfew or home confinement restrictions or GPS - ISAP Government program) in addition disregard his medical condiction, disregard of the fact that an alien has come hight risk to contrated Covid and disregard his age and his Family matters. For this and others reason Plaintiff signed this writting statement denied the Defendant - ICE proposition. An additional document in support of of such objection will be provide on that later Futur Planitiff express his willingness to appear at the Fainess Hearing schedulled on May 03,2021 at 11: AM.

Mr. Decarvalho was taken into Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE" custody during the early morning hours of November 4,2019. He has been incarcerated since that time at Bristol County Jail House of Corrections (BCHOC") in North Dartmouth, Massachussetts, since Decarvalho his under Custody of the Defendant - I.C.E. his been subject of varius of personal injury and it had occurred on varius dates and times throuhout his detention at BCHOC.

Mr. Decarvalho is been subject to dangerous and abusive condictions that have inpact his health, exposed him to lethal risk of COVID -19 through the recklessnes and deliberate indifferentce of ICE, and caused significant physical injury and emotial distress. Whoever Mr. Decarvalho exausted all admistration grievance procedure including in writting informed to I.C.E. Officer of Burlington Mass.(I.CE maintaing an Intergovernmental Service Agreement (IGSA) and 287(g)

agreement with BCHOC, which together authorize BCHOC to incarcerate person in ICE's legal custody, pursuant to detailed contractual terms, extensive Perfomance -Based National Detention Stander and Others Federal rules ,guidence and regulations incorporate into the IGSA and 287 (g) agreement, and subject to close ICE supervision and monitoring at list this is what that contracted required on that paper not actually in practecy for that reason, That is not merely a coincidence, Mr. Janito Decarvalho his a menber of the class certified in Savino v. Souza , No. 1: 20 - cv - 10617 - WGY (D. Mass.).

Mr. Decarvalho understood that his arrested because he had a prior convictions, this convictions alleged Violate (INA) Immigration Nacional Law, Mr.Deacrvalho does not understand why Defendant - ICE have him in a confined Prison Institution house of Corrections bound by Prison harsh condiction and regulations, hancuff in a daily lockdow routine housing ristrictions, actualy housing with Jailers in pre- trial and place him multiply time in sagregations soley because medical reason and denied a certain privilege and treat him as a regular convict as charged, inflicted on him as a forms of punishements knowing that Decarvalho his a Federal Detainee on Immigrations procedure he endured numerous violations in one ocasion Defendant - ICE refused to bring himto the Court on a personal non immigrations matters. Mr. Decarvalho his Sheltter in the worst condictions when he was actualy served and complety his sentence from his convictions over 5 years ago

Here plaintiff briefelly explaining his objections and the reason of a such as follow:

I. Medical Treatment

Mr. Decarvalho has felt an overwhelming sense of anxity while detained at BCHOC, which has caused him to experience severe mental and physical symptoms. Upom his detentions at BCHOC and, more specifically since March Decarvalho has had trouble sleeping and low energy lost of tremendous weight, he lost about 30 pounds, since he being detained because of lack of proper Nutrition and and anxiet, Mr. Decarvalho saw Medical professional while detained who he provide the Medical record of previous incurceration diagnost and he sugest that Doctor

to change his Diet, his requested was a tremendous batle with the Defendant -ICE when refused to provide him with a proper Quality and Quantities of Food and Nutrients, the Doctor awarre of the issue cause me to have a (low blood cell lost of weight and anxiety and lost of sleep) Plaintiff recommend for a Diet change Quantity and Quality but Bristol admistration nevertheless did anything which left Mr. Decarvalho no option but to choose be on a Vegetarian Diet to better off sustaing hinself and spend an significant of the money (\$60-75 a weekly) in the purchased of Canteen commessary, he presisted to make a complaing to Medical were the Doctor clear was helpless in helping him to ameliorate that situations theys prescribe him a multiple daily Vitammine and Irom for lack of Nutrients of the Food that he being server until now.

Mr. Decarvalho his a very active person he sought medical treatmenmt many times while detaine at BCHOC. The process to seek medical treatment involves placing a request in the drop box, which, if granted, his usualy done afther three to four days. This rethoric process does not change even if there is a medical emergency. Twice Mr. Decarvalho medical treatment was neglet specialy over Night once Mr. Decarvalho twist his ankle and was in a significant amount of pain he could no sleep, once Mr. Decarvalho failed on the Baskball pick up game on his recreation time and injury his Rist and was denied a Medical treatment, the Nurse refused to comme to the unit 2 East to see him a Night, once Mr. Decarvalho contract a Covid- 19 he was removed from population and place on sagregation he didn't see the Doctor or Nurse upom requested until 15 hours afther on that regular routine Meds line Howeever the cusomary wait BCHOC Policy to three of four days to see a professionals, this cause Mr. Decarvalho a lot of restless Night with no sleep. Durring that wait he was not giving Ice, bandages, or even a pain reliver, some request for medical attention simply got no answered specificaly x-ray, the Doctor, Nurse pick and chosse when they assumed x ray is need "as long you be able to move, walk or be in the Yard on recreations time theys assumed that is not necessary to performe X ray, Thruffuly never this Doctor BCHOC provide me a substantive treatment.

II. Asult & Battery

BCHOC (and throught it I.C.E.) Use unlawful Force on Mr. Decarvalho. See Office of attorney General Report, this report discribe how Bristol Policy use a excess of force on conducted theys business, Mr. Decarvalho was a part of a group of detainees who made some request to staff for adquate clening supplies and, in general more information regarding COVID - 19, as a result being out spoken on the issue he was place on sagregation and isolated for 45 days, withouth a single D. Report beside a extra pair of uniforme was found on his property doing the package of his property, for what he recal Bristol, Training Group of Officer call "Black shirt" ruan in to his Cell and overpower and sorround Mr. Decravalho twisted his arm while cuffing his hand behind his back and took him to the isolation, Mr. Decarvalho note that was no reason for it at all because he and othres actyualy adressed the Bristol administration in a formal meeting on the issue covid protections all he did his speak on that issu, and use is First Amendment Rights without incited any violent or threats The use of force was excessive as Mr. Decarvalho was engaged in a calm conversation with the Guard, administrations including Corronel Mr. Oliver III, in explaining to him what was the issue wich he adressed sataing the fact that "we refuse to work he express that "every action as a consequency" for what he understood administration use a forme of supress and intimidations to ruan the facility as theys whise. Mr. Decarvalho and others did not resist any of their demands. This incident was extremely traumatic and only served to increase Mr. Decarvalho anxiety and frustation in delays on his Immigrations Ligal procedure, and lost of [all] his personal property (clothing, shoes, radio and othres item) as a result he feel that he alawys to look over his shoulders for fear of what BCHOC will do to him next.

III. Negligent Medical Care

BCHOC (and thought it, I.C.E.) has a duty to ensure that those detainee at BCHOC receive adquate medical care. BCHOC breach that duty by failing to provide adquate

Medical care (1) following his twisted ankle (2) failing to provide him with a proper information and precaution he should take before a <u>schedulled surgy</u> with a doctor (3) failed him by no have a proper housing to house Mr. Decarvalho when he had contracted Covid-19, a properly medical care and prevent him for a exposure not to contract Covid in provide him a proper (PPP) personal proctection program.

IV. Negligent Maintnance

BCHOC did not comply with its contrated obligations by refused to maintain the Facility safe and sanitary conditions instead relied upon Immigrants Detainee thenselves to clean the Facility, on a voluntier basis. The Detainee chose to clean the facility in exchange of recreations and extra plate of food, thats mean is not an official worker crew staff from BCHOC, supervision or any paper trail agreement and compensations for a "Workers" in additions despity request BCHOC did not provide a proper supplies needed to clean the facility and 90% at the time the suply is shorted and Water-dow.

V. False Imprisonment

This one in particula and also all set above Defendant- ICE intetionaly, and unjustifiable, imprisoned Mr. Decarvalho durring the Covid-19 pandemic, since from beginning of the surges of pandemic March 2020, when the filing and service of the Savino Complaint put ICE on Notice of the pandemic condictions at Bristol until the present Day Defendant - ICE subjecting Mr. Decarvalho to dangerous and abusive condictions that impact his heath and exposed him to the lethal risk of contrating COVID - 19, actualy Mr. Decarvalho was test possitive and diagnosis wiith COVID - 19, he was seek with the symptoms of (fever, fatigue, weakness, headached, body aches, cuffing sore throw, no sense of smell, sleepness and nauseous) BCHO and ICE failed to engaged in a voluntary Populations reductions, testing and contract tracing, and failing to enforce detainee to maintain social distance protocol, all this steps was recommended by Public Official health expert insteat, Defendant - ICE and his Associate make a statement on March 19, 2020

that said: "nothing that althought 80% of the individual Detainee at BCHOC are immunocompromised, and thus particulary vulnerable to exposure to COVID - 19" and social Media propaganda opossed to release detainee including posted inmates/detainee criminal record on F.B convince the general Public that we are danger to the Public. In another and, Defendant - ICE refuse to take any measure to release anyone or make accommodation by reduce the number of population, provid a proper PPP (personal protection progran) conduct a test and contracted trace until was to late to protect Detainee including Mr. Decarvalho under his Custody, until the Savino Court acknowledge that these condictions would likely be sufficient for Mr.Deacrvalho and the other Savino Class Mnbers to stablished a Trial that "substancial risk of seriuos harm [resulted] form their confinement in such condictions but nevertheless BCHOC stead fast in release detainee whict this Nightmares become reality by Mr. Decarvalho were he contracted the Covid -19 after test possitive the Court got involve on Savino Case, but nevertheless Defendant- ICE reckelless nigligente have Plaintiff in the continuing torttious and inhumane condictions housing "storage" on the Unit 2- East until he contract Covid -19, place on sagregations finished is 14 days guarantine and had to stay there for another (5) days because the Unit 2 east were he was previus housing was on lockdow no regula moviment or recreations "nobody go out and nobody coming in " until his attorney intervene were I was move to a different housing.

THEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully ask and suggest[s] the this Honorable Court to grant the following relief:

- 1. Issue an Order:
- a. Declaring that Petitioner's continued detention under such condictions is not authorized by the INA and/or Violates the Fifth Amendment;
- b. Plaintiff ask suggest[s] Defendant Steven J. Souza redraw his opposition or his Writ of
 Habeas Corpus Civil Actions: No. 20 11086 DJC and release the Petitioner from his Custody.
- c) Plaintifff request from Defendant and I.C.E. will joing in a motiom (no to opposed) to

terminate Immigrations procedure against him at Board Of Immigration Appeal and United State

District Court of Massachussetss and update his resident status (renew his Drean Card) and

provide him a path to become Unit State Citizen.

- d) Upom final aproval of the Setlement, Plaintiff will agree to dismiss with prejudice the following pending appeal: Case No. 20-1626, filled by Sammer Ahmed, appellate Counsel for Class Members.
- e) Plaintiff will agree to discharge Defendant and I.C.E from all of theys obligation which including send a letter to the Salem Probate Court in regard to Mr. Decarvalho motion to reopem his family law matter withing upom final aproval of the settlement.
- 2. Grant any other and further relief this Court may deem appropriate no limity to :
- a) Plaintiff request from Defendant I.C.E. To release him from detention and compensated him with a significant monetary compensation to <u>covere</u> for lost of Jobs (plaintiff was self emplyoer deliver contractor), and expenses mony spend on Canteen Commessary purchase, cost of phone of Call, ligal material, clothing, lost of his personal Vehicly, lost of personal property including extra fess from not able to live up to a signed contract of a rental appartment and Rental truck form Budget rental Company from the time of his arrested (November 04 2019) and detention by Defendant I.C.E Defendant until the present date.
- b) Provide him with a financial compensation and Cost of renew all his work documents
 Resedent Drean Card, and SSN # Emplyoer/Emplyoee Insurance and Healt care and update
 and cover all Debt as a resulted of his detention provide him with a valid resource for Job
 Search, Reabilitation program and educational Job skil training Class, therefore Defendant-ICE
 never served plaintiff with a proper NTA (notice of appearance in Court) the NTA served is not
 valid document do not content, date and time place of the hearing in accordance with the INA
 (Immigration Nacional Act) sec. 39 (a) See Supreme Court Rule. ICE simple issu a Warrant for
 the Plaintiff, after have plaintiff in Custody "Violations Of His Due Process" for this reason
 Plaintiff Bussiness and Family affairs is upside-down, must be put back in place that require
 time support and financial resource.

On Thursday, May 7, 2020, Judge Young issued an order know as a preliminary injunction, follow by an opinion on May 12, 2020, further explaining his Order. The Court strongly Rule in favor of the Immigrants detainee at Bristol County including the Plaintiff "The Court ruled that the government likely has acted *unconstitutionally* and show deliberate indifference to the substancial risk of serious harm posed by CIVID- 19 to the Immigrants detained at Bristol County in their care. As evidence the Court pointed to the government's failure to conduct comprehensive testing or contact tracing, and its refusal to voluntary consider release of any detainees from Bristol" Doing that Defendant- ICE did not fulfil its duties and obligations to Mr. Decarvalho despite the outbreak BCHOC has done nothing to make the facility safer, they have not increased detainees access to cleaning suplies, not have increased the amount of Soap hand sanitizer avalable and put the CDC recomendation guide line in place (increasing the space between individual and decreasing the frequent of contact, contact tracing and a widespread testing). Due to BCHOC's negligency Plaintiff Mr. Decarvalho contracted COVID - 19, when he was diagnosed with COVID -19 he was trown into Isolations and giving Motrim for his symptoms he was not provide acces to a Doctor, for this reason the Proposed Settlement from Defendant -ICE is not Fair See Memorandum of Decision Civil Actions: No. 1:20- cv- 10617 WGY. Plaintiif is opem for a discuss in found othres options of settlement.

Date: 11/1/2(

Respectfully submitted,

Janito Decarvalho - Pro-se

ID 197664

Bristol County Department of Corrections North Dartmouth, Mass. 02747

ICE - A N# A52

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Date: April 17,2021

United State District Court for the District of Massachusetts Clerk of Court 1 - Courthouse Way, Suit 2300 Boston, Mass. 02210

Thomas Kanwit

United State District Court for the District of Massachusetts 1 - Courthouse Way, Suit. 9200 Boston, Mass. 02210

Orem Sellstrom

Lawyers for Civil Rights 61- Battermarch Street, Fifth Floor Boston, Mass. 02110 Counsel for the Plaintiff

Sameer Ahmed

Harvard Immigrations and Refuge Clinical Program 6- Everett Stret Cambridge, Mass. 02138 Appellate Counsel for Class Members With Appelate Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

Janito Decarvalho - Pro-se

ID 197664

Bristol County Department of Corrections North Dartmouth, Mass. 02747

ICE - A -A52

Filed 04/21/21 Page 13 of 14 Case 1:20-cv-10617-WGY Document 409 Bristol County Sheriff's Office PROVIDENCE RI 028 400 Faunce Corner Road North Dartmouth, MA 02747 19 APR/2021 PM 4 Your Full Name Su Nombre Lieno District of Mussachusetts One- Courthouse Way, Suite 300 Room #: La unidad y Número del Cuatro CiVIL Actions: 1:20 CV-10617

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS FORWARDED FROM THE BRISTOL COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE. THE CONTENTS MAY NOT HAVE BEEN EVALUATED AND THE BRISTOL COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SUBSTANCE OR CONTENT OF THE ENCLOSED MATERIAL.